

NO_x Source Apportionment and Oxidation Chemistry in a Coastal Urban Airshed Using

Stable Isotope Techniques: An Approach to Intermittent Sources

Kaiya Shealy^{1,2*}, J. David Felix^{1,2}, Yixi Qiu^{1,2}

¹ Department of Physical and Environmental Sciences, ²Center for Water Supply Studies College of Science, Texas A&M University – Corpus Christi *kshealy@islander.tamucc.edu

Introduction

NO_x (NO + NO₂) emissions decrease urban air quality and its subsequent deposition can be a significant source of excess nitrogen loading to coastal waters. Here we use stable isotope techniques to quantify primary NO_x emission sources and NO oxidation chemistry in a coastal urban air shed.





Methods

Study Site, Corpus Christi, TX, US



Figure 1: Map of sampling location

NO_x collection

- Ogawa passive air samplers (Dahal, 2016)
- Coated filter pads convert NO_x and NO₂ to NO₂
- Elute filter pads in 5 mL milli-Q water



Figure 2: OGAWA passive air filters

NO₂⁻ concentration



Figure 3: SEAL AQ300 Discrete nutrient analyzer (left and Thermo Dionex Ion chromatography (right)

Methods cont. Ancillary Data

• Continuous NO_x, O₃, RH, T, wind speed and direction



Figure 4: Teledyne N500 NOx analyzer (top) T400 Ozone analyzer (bottom) and HOBO RX300 Meteorological station (right)

$NO_{2^{-}}$ isotope analysis ($\delta^{15}N, \delta^{18}O$)

- Bacteria denitrifier method to convert NO2⁻ to N2O
- CF-IRMS to measure N2O δ Value (‰ units)



- δ^{18} O-NO2 must be corrected by 25‰ Interference of water in elution
- Loss of O atoms when converting NO2⁻ to N2O

Isotope Mixing Model



- $\delta_{endmember}$ = delta value of a source
- $f_{endmember}$ = fraction of source contributing to the mixture
- δ_{mix} = delta value of the mixture
- Use SIMMR (Stable Isotope Mixing Model in R) to calculate apportionments (Parnell, 2013)

Intermittent Sources (lightning

and biomass burnina)

- Remote sensing to determine when significant (Qiu, in review) HYSPLIT 24-hr airmass back trajectory
- GEOS-16 HMS for Biomass Burning

 - same order of magnitude as vehicle



Isotope Source Signatures

Source	δ^{15} N-NO _x	δ^{18} O-NO ₂
Vehicle	-2±4‰ (Wolters, 2015)	
Industrial	-16.5±10‰ (Walters, 2015)	
Biogenic	-35±1.7‰ (Yu, 2017)	
Lightning	0.5‰ (Hoering, 1957)	
Biomass Burning	1±4‰ (Elliot, 2019)	
RO ₂ /HO ₂		~23.5‰ (Kroopnick, 1972)
O ₃		115±5‰ (Michalski, 2014)
Table 1: NOx emission sources and t	heir δ^{15} N-NOx and NO2 oxidation pathway	s and their δ^{18} O-NO2

Results

NO_v Source Apportionment

- April 2022 February 2023
- Overall average δ^{15} N-NO_x across all sites: 0.41 ± 27.3‰ • δ^{15} N-NO_x ranging from -23‰ to 11‰

Site	Average δ ¹⁵ N- NO _x (‰)		Ambient NO _x (ppb)
CAMS 0660	1.1 + 3.4	-3.5 to 7.8	6.2 + 4.8
Annaville	-1.3 + 7.5	-9.6 to 3.8	6.4 + 4.6
CAMS 0668	-1.5 + 3.0	-23.9 to 6.3	9.9 + 3.7
CAMS 0659	3.4 + 2.8	-1.3 to 11.5	4.7 + 3.9

Table 2: Average and range of $\,\delta^{15}\!\mathrm{N-NO_x}$ and ambient NOx concentration in ppb observed at each sampling location

- Lightning included in apportionment model 91% of sampling period
- Not included in May and October
- · Biomass Burning included in apportionment model 62% of sampling period
- Included December June Burning season February - May

-35*(fblo

10 0.0

 δ^{15} N-NOx(sample nic) + -16.5*(fin $trial) + -3^*(fvehicular) + 0.5^*(flightning) + 1^*(fb)$

when significan

Temporal Mixing Model Results





each sampling location



Welch



Results cont.

- NO₂ Oxidation Chemistry
- April 2022 February 2023
- Overall average δ^{18} O-NO₂ across all sites: 55 \pm 20‰
- δ^{18} O-NO₂ ranging from 39‰ to 88‰
- Spatial variation not statistically significant (P-value = 0.3)
- Temporal changes in δ^{18} O-NO₂ due to ambient O₃ concentration



δ^{18} O-NO2(sample) = 23.5*(fRO2/HO2pathway) + 117*(fO3 pathway)

- Majority oxidation via NO + RO2/HO2 -> O3
- O3 Oxidation significantly correlated with ambient O3 concentration



Figure 9: Average exidation mixing model results across all sites and sampling time





Figure 10: Plot of ambient ozone co

Conclusions

primarily vehicle with competing biomass in spring due to increased biomass burning from Mexico

- Could be over-estimation with the model as source signatures of biomass and vehicles are within standard deviations of each other
- To further constrain biomass endmember add other biomass indicators, like potassium to determine when to include it into the apportionment model
- Decrease in $\delta^{15}{\rm N-NOx}$ over sampling time
- Oxidation via RO₂/HO₂ pathway will increase the δ^{15} N-NO₂ Changes in emission inputs to atmosph
- Minimal spatial variation of δ¹⁸O-NO2
 Homogenized airmass across the entire airshed
- Increase in δ^{18} O-NO2 over sampling period Due to ambient O3 concentrations
- Majority of NO is oxidized to NO2 via the RO2/HO2 pathway
- · At study site, NOx limited regime due to low ambient NOx and surrounding petrochemical facilities
- Knowing NOx sources can help effectively mitigate NOx

· Biomass burning could be an underestimated source in this study region and potentially nationally with increased wildfires over the past decade

Study region could introduce things like emission checks to decrease vehicular emissions

- GLM for Lightning · Deemed significant when estimated NFI emissions